

LOUISVILLE

DAILY DEMOCRAT.

VOLUME XVIII.

LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY: SATURDAY MORNING, MAY 17, 1862.

NUMBER 229.

Daily Democrat

TERMS OF DAILY DEMOCRAT TO THE COUNTRY.

One Year.	\$5 00
Six Months.	3 00
Three Months.	1 50
One Month.	50

50¢ Subscriptions taken for less than one month.

All subscribers, whose papers were discontinued when the mail facilities were cut off in Southern Kentucky and Tennessee, can obtain them now by giving us notice where they received them and where they now wish them forwarded for the time paid for.

For Judge of Court of Appeals,

R. K. WILLIAMS,

OF GRAVES COUNTY.

District composed of Allen, Butler, Breckinridge, Ballard, Calloway, Caldwell, Crittenden, Christian, Dickenson, Estill, Franklin, Grayson, Johnson, Knott, Hickman, Henderson, Hopkins, Lewis, Lincoln, Logan, Marshall, McCracken, Muhlenberg, McLean, Simpson, Todd, Trigg, Union, Warren and Webster.

It is encouraging to see in the South to read the charge now industriously made that the Democratic party North is Secesh, or sympathies with the rebellion. If the charge were true, it would be convincing, and, indeed, edifying to Davis & Co. It is well known that the Democracy of the North is a powerful body; that the majority against them in any State is but a small per cent. of the whole population. More resolute and determined men are not to be found. The rebels South know this, and if this powerful organization will aid them, what have they to fear ultimately? True, the rebel armies may be overthrown, but then comes the fire in the rear; and a divided North will leave the rebellion successful, after its arms are beaten, dispersed and dispirited.

Rebel papers are busy making this charge. It is very good party clap-trap at home. Wherever it is believed, of course, votes are lost to the Democratic party, and won to their enemies, and thus enterprising politicians get office and spoils. That is all very well, if success without any particular regard for principle is the object. It is run out as far as effectual resistance is concerned; but its work will be done at the ballot-box in the North, and by paralyzing, at last, all military efforts in that region. At present it has the effect to revive the embers of hope in the Confederates, and tempt them to hold on for a divided North. They counted on it at the start; and now they have the assurance of the Democratic party that there is still hope, and that the Democracy are really for the rebellion.

A man earnestly for the Union would be slow to credit such a charge; for it would be just what he would dislike to believe. If it were true, it would be the most fatal symptom to his cause. If compelled to believe it, he would not proclaim it, to inspire the enemies of the Union with fresh courage.

Honesty and honesty are, however, rather obsolete; or, at most, they are rather abstract things, which ought not be introduced into practical business matters.

The Chicago Tribune says: "Suppose the result of this war shall be to restore the Union as it was, what shall we have gained?" That is, how shall we Republicans know that we shall ever elect a President and get office again?

The people of New Orleans have nice sensibilities. They burned up all the cotton—other people's cotton—and stole all the sugar in the city. We hope their sensibilities were gratified.

The Richmond Dispatch says "no Southern position, capable of defense, has yet fallen to Federal hands except through traitor treason."

We understand that Colonel Bayles is restored to his position as Colonel of the Fourth Kentucky Cavalry.

The Nashville Union speaks of recording events in a few days that will startle the nation.

Latest from Eastern Kentucky.

BATH COUNTY, Ky., May 12, 1862.

Editors Democrat—Gentlemen: There is quite an excitement in this part of the State now, caused by the sudden stampede of rebels from this and surrounding counties. A party of thirty or more entered Mount Sterling, on last Monday, with guns, &c., and in complete military style; cursed the stars and stripes that were waving over a private house, took two Secesh prisoners from the authorities that were on trial at the time, and committed many other gross outrages. They then left town, and proceeded towards Hazel Green, on the road to Floyd and Preston.

They were met two miles from Hazel Green, by a party of Home Guards, who captured them and proceeded toward Mt. Sterling with their prize, and the report is that they had not proceeded far until they were met by another party of rebels, who captured the Home Guard and released their comrades, after a sharp fight, in which several were wounded and one reported killed.

Many of our Secesh in this county have gone up towards Pound Gap, for what purpose is not known. There is some mystery attached to it; I cannot exactly unravel. The fact is, there is something wrong going on, and if things get any worse, you will hear again from "UNCONDITIONAL."

A Colorado paper says the amount of gold received at the mint of the United States and branches from Colorado Territory since its settlement is: For the year 1860, in round numbers, four thousand dollars. For the year 1861, six hundred and twenty-two thousand dollars. For the year 1861, upwards of two millions, and from June 30 to January 1, last, one million one hundred and sixty thousand dollars. The yield for this year is estimated at four millions. The population is now upwards of 25,000.

In Cazenovia, New York, recently, a district school gave an exhibition, embracing a dramatic scene in which a deserter was to be shot. The act was performed, and the lad who acted the part (a son of Rev. L. E. Swan) fell dead upon the stage. It was found that in loading the gun a brass ferule on the ramrod had slipped from its place and remained in the barrel. The lad was too true, and the ferule struck him in the side, fracturing two ribs and coming out through the back.

A COIN ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY YEARS OLD.—A carting was dug up last week in a garden in New Haven, Conn., is said to be 1749.

OFFICIAL BOARD OF COMMON COUNCIL.

THURSDAY EVENING, May 15, 1862. Present—O. W. R. Naid, President, and all the members except Mr. Story.

Mr. E. A. Buckner tendered his resignation as Councilman from the Fourth ward, which was received and referred to Committee on Elections.

The reading of the journal of the previous session was dispensed with.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of Thomas Reese, Master-maister of house No. 4, to July 14th, 1862, was read and filed.

The Engineer submitted a claim of \$40 00 in favor of P. McKinley, for repairs to bridges, which was referred to Street Committee.

The Engineer submitted an apportionment of the digging and walling of a well on Sixth street, between Kentucky and Delaware, P. Hafer contractor, which was referred to Street Committee, Western District.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of Thomas Reese, Master-maister of house No. 4, to July 14th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

The report of the Wharfmaster for two weeks ending May 10th, 1862, was read and filed.

OFFICIAL

LIST OF LETTERS
REMAINING IN THE LOUISVILLE POSTOFFICE
ON MAY 16th, 1862, AND WHICH, IF NOT CALLED FOR
WITHIN FORTY MONTHS, WILL BE RETURNED TO THE DEPARTMENT AS
DEAD LETTERS.

[Original is to be inserted in the Louisville Democrat, as the Newspaper printed in it by far exceeds the Louisville Postoffice.]

Six. And be it further enacted, That the list of letters, &c., remaining in the Postoffice of this city, town or village, where said newspaper shall be printed, shall be being issued weekly, or oftener, shall have the largest circulation within the range of delivery of said paper, New Postage Law, passed March 2d, 1861.

[See also New Postage Law, passed March 2d, 1861.]

LADIES' LIST.

ANTHONY Mrs. Caroline
Ainsell Miss E.
Adams Miss Juliette F.

BOWFERS Mrs.
BOWMAN Mrs. Ann
BORGES Mrs. Anna
Bell Mrs. Allen
Bennett Mrs. Anna
Bryant Mrs. Emily
BURNETT Mrs. Emma E.
Carron Mrs. Fanny
Bonadale Mrs. Geo
BROOKS Mrs. Geo
BROOKS Mrs. Julia
Bell Julia A.

CUTSHAW Addy
CUTSHAW Mrs. Anna
Clark Mrs. Almira E
Conroy Mrs. Catherine
Carron Mrs. Catherine
Carroll Mrs. Anna
D'ARCY Mrs. Anna
Dwyer Bridget
DAVIS Mrs. C. W.
Ladd Mrs. Anna

EVANS Miss Bettie
EVANS Bell
EVANS Mrs. John

FARMER Mrs. Ellen
FARMER Mrs. John

GOULD Mrs. Mary Ann
GRANTHAM Mrs. Emma
GRIFFITH Mrs. Julia
Grey Jane
GRAY Mrs. J.
GREEN Mrs. Sarah
Grace Mrs. Hattie W

HAZEL Mrs Agnes
HAYES Mrs. John Eliza
HAYES Mrs. John R.
HATHAWAY Mrs. R.
HATCHISON Mrs. Sarah
HAWKINS Mrs. Virginia E
HILL Mrs. Hester
HONEY Mrs. Isabella

ISON Nancy

JACKSON Mrs.
JESSIE Mrs. Estelle A.

KERNAN Mrs. Mary M.
KISTER Mrs. Emma E.
Kelly Mrs. Mary
KELLY Mrs. John
KELLY Anne

LONG Mrs. Mary R.
LEWIS Mrs. Margaret
LOHMAN Mrs. Margaret
LOVINGOOD Mrs. K.
LUCY Mrs. Anna
MANN Mrs. Fress
LAURENCE Fannie

MARSHALL Miss. Almira

MASON Mrs. Anna
MARSH Mrs. Anna
MASON Mrs. Anna
MORTON Mrs. Carrie
MORTON Mrs. Everett
MORTON Mrs. John
MAYER Mrs. Miller
MAYER Mrs. Miller
MAYER Mrs. Stitz
MAYER Mrs. Miller
MAYER Mrs. Miller

MCGILL Mrs. Eliza
McINTOSH Mrs. Wm T
McINTOSH Mrs. Wm T
McINTOSH Mrs. Wm T

NASH Mrs. Kate
NORTON Miss Sally
Norwell Mrs. Jas T

O'ELAGANAN Miss Beg
O'ELAGANAN Florence
O'ELAGANAN Mrs. Beg

PARK Mrs. Maria G
PEACOCK Mrs. Emma L

PEPPER Mrs. Rachel M
PEPPER Mrs. John

PEPPER Mrs. John

PEPPER Mrs. Lydia

PEPPER Mrs. Lydia